Colorblindness

Colorblindness creates a society that denies their [minorities’] negative racial experiences, rejects their cultural heritage, and invalidates their unique perspectives.

Monnica T. Williams

Educator Objective

Educators will engage in critical conversations about racial colorblindness to gain a deeper understanding of the concept.

Overview

The article in this activity brings awareness to the importance of acknowledging the cultural differences of students within the learning environment and using that to build upon their assets. In her article, Afi-Odelia E. Scruggs (2009) asserts, “Failure to see and acknowledge racial differences makes it difficult to recognize the unconscious biases everyone has. Those biases can taint a teacher’s expectations of a student’s ability and negatively influence a student’s performance. Study after study has shown that low teacher expectations are harmful to students from socially stigmatized groups.”

Materials/Set-Up

- Educator Resource:
  - 7.5a: “Colorblindness: The New Racism?”
- Sticky notes
- If possible, prior to the activity:
  - The room should already be set up for a pilot/co-pilot Socratic Seminar.
  - Article should be provided to educators in order to allow time for reading, marking the text, and creating questions for Socratic discussion.

Instructional Steps

- Prior to this activity, ask educators to read “Colorblindness: The New Racism?” and prepare two questions—on two separate sticky notes—for a Socratic Seminar discussion.
- Explain to educators that they will be engaging in a Socratic Seminar on the “Colorblindness” article using the pilot/co-pilot strategy. Ask them to have the following resources readily available, as they move into the Socratic Seminar formation: the article, sticky notes with two questions from the article, something to write with, and a chair.
- Once participants are arranged and seated, explain how the pilot/co-pilot arrangement works.
  - For three minutes, triads (one pilot and two co-pilots) share their questions.
  - The pilot will face the center of the discussion group and participate in the discussion.
  - The co-pilots listen and take notes, but cannot comment or speak out at this time. They should record any comments that they find interesting or questions that they want to ask.
  - The pilot–co-pilot triad will periodically discuss the questions and comments in preparation for another round of group discussion.
• Remind educators to focus on the text during the discussion and refer to it whenever possible.
• Begin the Socratic Seminar by asking for a volunteer pilot to read one question aloud to the group. Then, ask each pilot in the inner circle to read a question.
• Conduct the Socratic Seminar, stopping periodically to allow pilots and co-pilots to discuss questions and comments in triads.
• At points during the discussion, have pilots and co-pilots switch seats, or have co-pilots provide notes to the pilots.
• The Socratic Seminar can be debriefed on the process if the educator participants are new to this discussion strategy, and/or on the “Colorblindness” article.
  • To debrief the process:
    • Ask the pilots and co-pilots to share their observations on the process.
    • Solicit thoughts from the participants about using the pilot/co-pilot arrangement in a classroom Socratic Seminar.
    • Ask participants what could have been done to improve the Socratic Seminar experience.
  • To debrief the article, the following questions can be discussed in small groups or in a large-group setting:
    • What do you think was the single most important idea that was discussed? Why?
    • What do you feel should have been discussed, but was not included?
    • Complete an individual DLIQ summary: What did I Do? What did I Learn? What was Interesting to me? What Questions do I have?

**Extension**

• To extend the learning, have teachers reflect on the article individually in professional development portfolios, or with a partner, and respond to the following questions: What does it mean to be “colorblind”? How is this pertinent to creating a culturally relevant classroom or learning environment?
Kawania Wooten’s voice tightens when she describes the struggle she’s having at the school her son attends. When his class created a timeline of civilization, Wooten saw the Greeks, the Romans, and the Incas. But nothing was said about Africa, even though the class has several African American students.

Wooten, who is black, spoke to the school’s director, a white woman—who insisted that the omission wasn’t racially biased.

“It benefits me not to pay attention,” says Benn, who is white. “I never have to question whether or not my race is being held in question when I apply for a job. It benefits me not to question that (because) it makes it look like I got here on my own.”

Paying attention to the cultural experience of students is becoming increasingly important, given the differences between the demographics of American students and their teachers.

According to reports from the National Center for Education Statistics, roughly 80 percent of American teachers are white, while children of color make up more than 40 percent of the student body.

As the nation’s demographics shift, the sight of a white teacher leaning over the desk of a brown or black student is likely to become more and more common. In order to be effective, teachers will have to learn about the cultural experiences of their students, while using these experiences as a foundation for teaching. The approach is called culturally relevant pedagogy.

But that is hard to do if a teacher doesn’t see differences as valuable. That means the blinders have to come off, says Randy Ross, a senior equity specialist at the New England Equity Assistance Center, a program of Brown University’s Education Alliance. Ross facilitates workshops on racism and culturally responsive teaching.
And in her experience, white people have the hardest time opening their eyes.

“I have never heard a teacher of color say ‘I don’t see color,’” Ross says. “There may be issues of cultural competence [among teachers of color], but colorblindness is not one of them. The core of ‘I don’t see color’ is ‘I don’t see my own color, I don’t see difference because my race and culture is the center of the universe.’”

Such tunnel vision is the reason a teacher can omit Africa from a timeline of world civilizations, Ross says. Still, she cautions, the flaws of the colorblind approach run deeper than curriculum.

Failure to see and acknowledge racial differences makes it difficult to recognize the unconscious biases everyone has. Those biases can taint a teacher’s expectations of a student’s ability and negatively influence a student’s performance. Study after study has shown that low teacher expectations are harmful to students from socially stigmatized groups.

In her article “Culturally Responsive Pedagogy for the Nineties and Beyond,” Ana Maria Villegas pointed out that ignorance of cultural differences could lead teachers to “underestimate the true academic potential” of minority students.

“Teachers’ judgments on students’ potential have profound and long-lasting effects on students’ lives,” Villegas wrote. “For minority children in particular, such judgments or misjudgments may prove costly...”

“The evidence is overwhelming. When compared to their ‘high-ability’ peers, ‘low-ability’ students are called on less often in class, given less time to respond, praised less frequently...and prompted less often in the case of incorrect responses.”

Ross says a teacher who professes to be “colorblind” is not going to understand how unconscious biases can influence expectations, actions, and even the way a teacher addresses students of color.

After talking to her son’s teacher, Kawania Wooten wondered whether her son was being harmed in just that way.

She’d asked for advice on helping the youngster complete a difficult project. Instead, the teacher immediately offered to give him easier work. Just as quickly, Wooten refused. Then she explained the racial subtext of the exchange: the white teacher doubted the intelligence of an African American child.

“I heard that expectations of my son were low,” Wooten says.

Such misunderstandings could be avoided, she believes, if the teacher learned some things about African American culture.

Society’s persistent segregation doesn’t make these interactions any easier, says Brown University’s Randy Ross.

“You don’t get comfortable talking about race by talking to people who look like yourself,” Ross notes.

The fear of appearing racist also throws up roadblocks. Ross recalled a workshop
participant who said she’d been taught to ignore race when she’d gone to college in the 1950s. Now, the woman lamented, she was being urged to practice behavior she considered bigoted.

But claims of colorblindness really are modern-day bigotry, according to Eduardo Bonilla-Silva, a sociology professor at Duke University. In his book *White Supremacy and Racism in the Post-Civil Rights Era*, Bonilla-Silva argues that racism has become more subtle since the end of segregation. He considers colorblindness the common manifestation of the “new racism.”

“Whites believed that the Sixties was the end of racism,” says Bonilla-Silva, who is a Puerto Rican of African descent. “In truth, we have to admit that struggles of the Sixties and Seventies produced an alteration of the order.”

That alteration upended the rhetoric of the civil rights struggle, Bonilla-Silva said, so that historically oppressed groups would seem to be the perpetrators of discrimination, not its victims. As an example, he points to the way affirmative action foes buttressed their position with the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr.’s quote from the 1963 March on Washington.

“They say ‘like Martin Luther King, I believe that people should be judged by the content of their character.’ People eliminate the history and contemporary practice of discrimination and play the morality tale,” Bonilla-Silva says.

Building a bridge to another culture can be difficult, but rewarding, as Aileen Moffitt has seen during her 20 years at Prescott Elementary School in Oakland, Calif. The 300-student population of the school is overwhelmingly black, but Latinos, Asian Americans, whites, and Native Americans also attend.

Moffitt never claimed to be colorblind. Before becoming a teacher, she had quite a bit of interaction with African American youngsters because she worked in the city’s parks and recreation department. She was surprised, then, when she had trouble reaching her students.

“I started as a well-intentioned white woman who was not awake,” she says with a deep laugh.

Moffitt found mentors in other veteran teachers who were African American. The women led the way when it came to integrating cultural references from their students’ backgrounds across the curriculum.

“My point is that it behooves us as educators to utilize the strengths that our children bring to the classroom — a rich language, a strong culture, a remarkable history. We do not need to be afraid of these strengths,” she wrote. “The children I teach are more likely to be productive members of society if they have a strong sense of self to accompany their mastery of the curriculum.”
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